### **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PC 05 079 M	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ION See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/EP2005/003594	International filing date (day/month/year)  O6 April 2005 (06.04.2005)  Priority date (day/month/year)  13 May 2004 (13.05.2004)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant Information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant OSYPKA, Peter				

This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on bahalf of the

	International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
		rence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	This report contains indication	s relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report $$		
	Box No. Π	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive stop and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.		communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		

<u> </u>	Date of issuance of this report 04 December 2006 (04.12.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac
Pacsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: ptl1@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From ti		NAL SEARCHE	NG AUTHOR	TY		ANO.
Yo:						PCT PCT
}						RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
						(PCT Rule 43b(s.1)
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See form PCT/ISA/210
Applic	ant's or a	goot's file referen	ice		FOR FURTHER	ACTION
PÇ	05 (	79 M J				See paragraph 2 below
Interna	tional ap	plication No.		International filing date	(daymowh/year)	Priority due (day/month/year)
		2005/003	<u>_</u>	06.04.2005		13.05.2004 ~
			n (IPC) or both	nutional classification an	rd IPC	
A61	.B5/0	00				
Applica	sat					
OSY	PKA.	Peter -	/			
	=					
1.	This o	pinion contains is	ndications relat	ing to the following item:	<b>8</b> :	
	$\bowtie$	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion 🗸		
	닏	Box No. U	Priority			
	닗	Box No. III			gard 10 novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability
		Box No. IV		y of invention		
		Box No. V		semm under Ruic 43015.		novelty, inventive step or industrial ement
	닏	Box No. VI	Certain docu	ments cited		
	H	Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the international opp	plication	
	البا	Box No. VIII	Certain obser	rvations on the internation	nel application	
2.	FURT	HER ACTION				
	than th	tional Preliminar is one to be the	y Examining A IPSA and the	Authority ("IPEA") excep	t that this does not app the International Bure	l be considered to be a written opinion of the dy where the applicant chooses an Authority other cau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of
	PCT/IS	reply together. SA/220 or before	where appropr the expiration	riate, with amendments, of 22 months from the pri	before the expiration	. the applicant is invited to submit to the IPBA a of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form expires later.
	For fur	ther options, see	Form PCT/ISA	V220.		
3.	For fix	ther details, see r	notes to Form P	CT/ISA/220.		
Name a	nd mailir	ng address of the	ISA/EP		Authorized officer	
					1	
Frankrai	le No				Telephone No	

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/003594

Во	x No. 1	I Basis of this opinion	
1.		th regard to the lunguage, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it will, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	ıs
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language	
		which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	Wit	h regard to any nucleotide and/or antino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claims intion this opinion has been established on the basis of:	d
	a	type of material	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	ь.	format of material	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c.	time of filing/furnishing	
		contained in the international application as filed.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(t) relating thereto has been filled of femithed, the required sistements that the Information in the subsequent or additional explets is identical to that in the application of filled or does not polyvoorist the experience for the filled or does not polyvoorist the experience for the first than the application of filled a superportate, every formalised.	
4.	Addi	itional comments:	
		·	

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/003594

Box No. IV Luck of unity of invention
I. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/200) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
paid additional fees
paid additional fees under protess
not paid additional fees
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of inversion in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
complied with
not complied with for the following reasons:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
all parts
the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-22 V

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/003594

ì.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-22	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-22	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-22	YES
				No
2.	Citations and explanations:  1 INDEPENDEN	T CLA	IM 1	
	-		plication does not meet the f PCT Article 33(1) because the	
	-		of claim 1 is not novel within the	
	-		Article 33(2).	

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;

D1 discloses (the references in parentheses are to this document):

A measuring device for detecting medical parameters in the human body which can be accommodated in a body cavity, more particularly a blood vessel (paragraphs 11 and 21), with at least one sensor (paragraph 11, lines 3-4) and a retaining device (paragraph 5), wherein the retaining device has at least a first (figure 1b, 115) and a second (figure 1, 102, and paragraph 23) magnetic element, at least one of which is a magnet (claim 3) and of which one is arranged inside and one outside the body cavity (figure 1), and the measuring device can be fixed in the body cavity by the retaining device (paragraph 5 and

International application No. PCT/EP2005/003594

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bia.1(a)(i) with regard to novelly, inventive step or industrial applicability:

citations and explanations supporting such statement

claim 8: "... the system is used for locating and/or positioning a device in vivo", that is, the measuring device can also be retained or fixed in a position).

Therefore, the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

#### 2 DEPENDENT CLAIMS

2.1 The features of dependent claims 2-22 are either known from D1-D6 or concern minor structural modifications of the kind that a person skilled in the art routinely makes on the basis of familiar considerations. Consequently, dependent claims 2-22 do not meet the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step (PCT Article 33(2) and (3)).

For example, in re claim 2, see D1, claim 3; in re claims 3 and 5, see D2, paragraph 96; in re claim 4, see D1, paragraph 25; in re claim 6, see D1, paragraph 5; in re claim 7, see D1, paragraph 25; in re claim 8, see D1, paragraph 21; in re claim 9, see D1, figure 1; in re claim 12, see D3, paragraph 86; in re claims 13 and 14, see D4, claims 1-4; in re claim 15, see D1, paragraph 25; in re claims 19 and 20, see D3, paragraphs 74 and 75; in re claims 16-18, see D5, paragraphs 13, 14 and 16 and claims 10 and 24; in re claims 19 and 21, see D2, paragraphs 107 and 108.

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

#### Box IV

- This report makes reference to the following documents:
  - D1: US 2003/114742 A1 (LEWKOWICZ SHLOMO ET AL)

    19 June 2003 (2003-06-19)
  - D2: US 2004/082850 Al (BONNER MATTHEW D ET AL)
    29 April 2004 (2004-04-29)
  - D3: US 2003/181788 A1 (YOKOI TAKESHI ET AL)
    25 September 2003 (2003-09-25)
  - D4: WO 99/43700 A (ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION FOR SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH; SCHULMAN, JOSEPH, H)
    - 8 October 1998 (1998-10-08)
  - D5: US 2004/050394 A1 (JIN SUNGHO) 18 March 2004 (2004-03-18)
- 2 This Authority has established that the present international application contains multiple (groups of) inventions which are not linked by a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1), as follows:
  - I: Claims 1-22 relate to structural features and/or optional therapeutic or diagnostic appliances of an in vivo measuring device with two magnetic elements.

Supplemental Box

- II: Claims 23-25 relate to an in vivo measuring device which can be used in combination with an additional implant.
- 2.1 Dl is considered to be prior art relevant to evaluating unity of invention. Dl discloses all the features of claim 1 (see below), to which all the dependent claims refer back. Comparison of the present groups of inventions with Dl reveals the following:
  - Group I: D1 discloses all the features of claims 1-15 (see below). The subject matter of claims 16-18 and that of D1 differ inter alia in that a storage device for accommodating a drug is provided on the measuring device (claim 16). The problem addressed may be seen to consist in extending the range of application of an intracorporeal device such that therapeutic measures can also be spontaneously carried out in conjunction with an intracorporeal physical measurement.
  - Group II: These claims differ from D1 in that the measuring device is arranged on a stent cage. The problem addressed may be seen to consist in combining implantation of a blood vessel-dilating or stabilizing element (in any case required) with that of a suitable measuring device at an appropriate site.
- 2.2 Since the special technical features (storage device and stent cage) indicated above are neither

## WRIFTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Imermational application No. PCT/EP2005/003594

Supplemente	d Box
	the same nor corresponding features, no technical
	relationship among the two groups of inventions
	within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 exists.
	Consequently, the required unity of invention is
	not established (PCT Rule 13.1).